Atty Dkt.: CHU 165

REMARKS

The present Amendment revises claim 1 along the lines suggested in Section 1 of the Office Action (except "the" has been retained and "said" has been deleted).

Accordingly, the objection that is set forth in Section 1 should be withdrawn.

The Interview Summary Record attached to the Office Action documents a telephone conference with one of Applicants' attorneys, who felt that further revisions in claim 1 would be desirable in order to improve the clarity and definiteness of the claim under US claim-drafting practice. The present Amendment does this, so it is respectfully submitted that the claim is suitably definite under the second paragraph of 35 USC 112.

The revisions to claim 1 delete several limitations that are not believed to be essential to the invention. New dependent claims have been added to recite various features that were previously present in claim 1, such as the use of an LED as the light source and the division of the left and right portions of the reflection cowl at a center line. Additionally, a new claim 6 recites that "the convex light stick receives light reflected by the reflection cowl and condenses the reflected light." The role of the right stick in condensing light is supported (for example) by the passage at page 5 of the application, lines 16-18.

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that the application is now in condition for allowance. Reconsideration of the application is therefore respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

August 29, 2003

Date

, š

Allen Wood - Reg. No. 28,134

RABIN & BERDO, P.C.

Telephone: 202-371-8976 Telefax: 202-408-0924

AW:tlc

CUSTOMER NO. 23995